A Critical Evaluation of Random Copolymer Mimesis of Homogeneous Antimicrobial Peptides
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ABSTRACT: Polymeric synthetic mimics of antimicrobial peptides (SMAMPs) have recently demonstrated similar antimicrobial activity as natural antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) from innate immunity. This is surprising, since polymeric SMAMPs are heterogeneous in terms of chemical structure (random sequence) and conformation (random coil), in contrast to defined amino acid sequence and intrinsic secondary structure. To understand this better, we compare AMPs with a “minimal” mimic, a well-characterized family of polydisperse cationic methacrylate-based random copolymer SMAMPs. Specifically, we focus on a comparison between the quantifiable membrane curvature generating capacity, charge density, and hydrophobicity of the polymeric SMAMPs and AMPs. Synchrotron small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) results indicate that typical AMPs and these methacrylate SMAMPs generate similar amounts of membrane negative Gaussian curvature (NGC), which is topologically necessary for a variety of membrane destabilizing processes. Moreover, the curvature generating ability of SMAMPs is more tolerant of changes in the lipid composition than that of natural AMPs with similar chemical groups, consistent with the lower specificity of SMAMPs. We find that, although the amount of NGC generated by these SMAMPs and AMPs are similar, the SMAMPs require significantly higher levels of hydrophobicity and cationic charge to achieve the same level of membrane deformation. We propose an explanation for these differences, which has implications for new synthetic strategies aimed at improved mimesis of AMPs.

INTRODUCTION

Natural antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) from the innate immunity of eukaryotic organisms comprise a class of membrane-active antimicrobial agents, which are promising anti-infective therapeutics especially for antibiotic-resistant bacterial pathogens.1 The therapeutic development of AMPs is, however, hindered largely by their liability to proteases in vivo and expensive manufacturing cost.2 Synthetic mimetics of AMPs (SMAMPs) including non-natural peptides,3–10 peptides,11 and abiotic oligomers12–15 and polymers16–22 are therefore developed. Being cationic and amphiphilic, these SMAMPs are designed to simultaneously capture the two main structural motifs shared by most AMPs.

AMPs can destabilize the bacterial cytoplasmic membranes via processes such as pore formation, membrane micellization, blebbing, and budding.1,23–28 AMPs have well-defined sequences and can participate in signaling, DNA binding, and other functions besides membrane permeabilization. Moreover, AMPs often also adopt secondary structures near a membrane surface that give them a specific geometric presentation of their cationic and hydrophobic components. For example, the AMP magainin adopts an α-helical conformation upon binding to membranes, in which cationic and hydrophobic residues are segregated to opposite faces of the helix. In contrast, polymeric SMAMPs are based on synthetic polymers such as poly-methacrylate, which have heterogeneous sequences and no specific secondary structures. Much recent work has focused on the similarities between AMPs and SMAMPs and has demonstrated that polymeric SMAMPs have in vitro antimicrobial activity similar to natural AMPs.16–22,29,30 Clearly, both AMPs and polymeric mimics meet the minimal structural requirements for cell specific membrane permeabilization activity despite their distinctive difference in structural and conformational homogeneity/heterogeneity. In this work, we focus on their structural and resultant functional differences with respect to natural AMPs and investigate the role of...
homogeneous vs heterogeneous structures in their antimicrobial mechanisms by comparing AMPs with cationic amphiphilic random copolymers, a demonstrated family of polymeric SMAMPs. Specifically, we used a "minimal" family of three polydisperse cationic methacrylate-based polymeric SMAMPs as heterogeneous models that are inactive, preferentially active against bacteria over human cells, and nonspecifically active. We show that bacterial inner membrane permeabilization results are consistent with those from synchrotron small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), which is used to quantify the negative Gaussian curvature (NGC) deformations induced in model membranes by these random copolymers, as NGC is topologically necessary for a variety of membrane destabilization processes. We find that, although the amounts of NGC generated by these SMAMPs and AMPs are similar, the SMAMPs require significantly higher levels of hydrophobicity and cationic charge to achieve the same deformations induced in model membranes by these random copolymers. We conclude that bacterial permeation is significantly enhanced by copolymer hydrophobicity and cationic charge to achieve the same deformations induced in model membranes by similar amounts of NGC generated by these SMAMPs and AMPs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Copolymer Preparation and Characterization.** The SMAMPs are random copolymers composed of methacrylate and aminoethyl methacrylate (MA-co-AEMA) (Figure 1), which were prepared via free radical copolymerization and characterized using $^1$H NMR as described previously. The following are $^1$H NMR spectra data (methanol-d$_4$, 400 MHz, Bruker-400 NMR spectrometer) of the three as-prepared copolymers after depolymerization. Poly-1: δ 4.4–4.1 (bs, 26.10H), 3.8–3.5 (bs, 22.85H), 2.8–2.5 (m, 7.00H), 2.3–1.8 (m, 32.51H), 1.7–0.8 (m, 56.51H). Poly-2: δ 4.4–4.1 (bs, 26.30H), 4.1–3.9 (bs, 14.21H), 3.698 (s, 3.00H), 2.8–2.5 (m, 7.37H), 2.3–1.8 (m, 30.40H), 1.7–0.8 (m, 81.70H). Poly-3: δ 4.4–4.1 (bs, 25.19H), 4.1–3.9 (bs, 14.33H), 3.698 (s, 3.00H), 2.8–2.5 (m, 7.99H), 2.3–1.8 (m, 31.04H), 1.7–0.8 (m, 111.41H). Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) characterization was performed on the three copolymers collected after depolymerization. The GPC spectra (acetic acid/acetic buffer supplemented with 20% acetonitrile, calibrated with polystyrene standard) were obtained from a Waters 515 pump and a Waters 2410 refractive index detector. Growth inhibition assays against E. coli (ATCC 25922) and hemolysis assays against human red blood cells were described in detail previously.

**Inner Membrane Permeability Assays.** The inner membrane permeability was characterized by measuring the β-galactosidase activity of E. coli ML-35 cells with $\alpha$-nitrophenyl-$\beta$-D-galactoside (ONPG) as substrate. The E. coli ML-35 strain was a kind gift from Professor André J. Ouellette at the University of Southern California. ONPG was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China). ML-35 cells were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) at 37°C for 18 h to stationary growth phase. A 100 μL culture was subsequently diluted with fresh TSB by 100-fold and regrown at 37°C to mid log growth phase (OD$_{600}$ = 0.5–0.7, measured using an Eppendorf BioPhotometer). ML-35 cells at mid log phase were collected and washed for three times with 10 mM PBS (10 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) and resuspended into 10 mM PBS to $\sim$1 × 10$^7$ CFU/mL. Into a 96-well microplate, we inoculated 15 μL of the adjusted bacterial inoculum to each well. Thus, each well had 1 × 10$^7$ CFU/mL. ML-35 cells suspended in 10 mM PBS (10 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) supplemented with 1% TSB and 2.5 mM ONPG and copolymers at expected concentrations. The β-galactosidase activity was monitored by measuring OD$_{600}$ at 37°C with an interval of 1 min for 130 min using a microplate reader (VarioskanFlash, Thermo Scientific), and copolymer stock solutions were added at time t in-between the 9th and 10th min during an experiment.

A set of blank controls containing no copolymer were measured at the same experimental conditions, which provide baselines for data analysis. Contribution of blank control was subtracted from raw data, which yielded delta(OD$_{600}$). Each inner membrane permeabilization trial was carried out in triplicate, and the reported results are the averages of two independent trials.

**Liposome Preparation.** All lipids used in this work were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) were prepared using the sonication method. Binary mixtures of DOPG (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-rac-(1-glycerol) (sodium salt)) with DOPE (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine) were used as first-order model for bacterial membranes. Ternary membranes composed of DOPG, DOPE, and DOPC (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphatidylcholine) were used as a more realistic model for the heterogeneous distribution of lipids in real bacterial membranes. These ternary membranes also allow us to isolate the membrane curvature from the membrane surface charge density. DOPG stock solution was mixed with DOPE and/or DOPE stock solutions; all stock solutions were at 20 mg/mL in chloroform. The mixture was dried under Nz, desiccated under vacuum overnight, rehydrated with Millipore water to a final lipid concentration of 20 mg/mL at 37°C overnight, sonicated to clarity, and extruded through a 0.2 μm Nucleopore filter (Whatman).

**Small-Angle X-ray Scattering Experiments.** Copolymers dissolved in Millipore water at $<$0.8 mg/mL were mixed with SUVs at specific polymer to lipid molar ratios (P/L) and NaCl concentrations and sealed in quartz capillaries for SAXS experiments. Synchrotron SAXS experiments were performed at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL, BL4-2), the Advanced Light Source (ALS, beamline 7.3.3), and the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF, BL16B1), using monochromator X-rays with energies of 9, 10, and 10 keV, respectively. The scattered radiation was collected using a Rayonix MX2255-HE detector (pixel size, 73.2 μm) at SSRL, a Pilatus 1 M detector (pixel size, 172 μm) at ALS, and a MAR Research CCD area detector (pixel size, 79 μm) at SSRF. No radiation damage was observed for the exposure times used. 2D SAXS powder patterns were integrated using the FIT2D.

**Comparison of (Hydrophobicity) and Cationicity of SMAMPs with Those of AMPs.** To directly compare methacrylate polymer hydrophobicities with natural AMP hydrophobicities, we used published octanol–H$_2$O partition coefficients, log P$_S$, for the methacrylate monomers and the Wilmy–White whole-residue hydrophobicity scale for the free energies of transfer from water to octanol, ΔG$_{oct}$, for the AMPs. Since ΔG = $-2.3RT$ log P, where R is the gas constant and T is the temperature, the MMA, EMA, and BMA log P values can be converted into ΔG values. ΔG for aminoethyl methacrylate has not been measured. To determine its value, we assumed that methacrylate monomer hydrophobicities are the sum of the contributions from the side chain and backbone. Note that the ΔG$_{oct}$ values from the Wilmy–White whole residue hydrophobicity scale are also the sum of the contributions from the side chains and the backbone. For the methacrylates these contributions were decoupled by a linear least-squares fit of the published log P values as a function of side chain...
length, \( L \) (i.e., \( L_{3\text{EMA}} = 1, L_{4\text{EMA}} = 2, L_{5\text{EMA}} = 3, L_{6\text{EMA}} = 4 \)). The resulting linear trendline has the form \( \log P = \text{slope} \times L + \text{intercept} \), where the intercept represents the contribution of the backbone, and the slope provides the increase in \( \log P \) from increasing the side chain length. Excellent \( \log P \) versus \( L \) relationships were observed over the side chain lengths used in this study (Figure S2). The extracted backbone log \( P \) was converted into a \( \Delta G \) and then added to the side chain value for lysine from the Wilmy–White scale.

AMP sequences were obtained from the antimicrobial peptide database. Since arginine and lysine are known to interact differently with membranes, AMP sequences which exclusively contain the cationic amino acid lysine were used. This yielded 335 lysine-rich AMPs. The average hydrophobicity for the \( j \)th AMP was calculated via

\[
\text{Hydrophobicity}_{j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{j}
\]

where \( w_{j} \) is the Wilmy–White scale \( \Delta G_{\text{wss}} \) value and \( n \) is the length of the \( j \)th AMP. A histogram of the average hydrophobicity values of the 335 AMPs was constructed by placing them into 50 bins. The average hydrophobicity values for methacrylic polymers containing methyl, ethyl, and butyl side chains were determined using the same equation. For each amphiphilic methacrylate copolymer the average degree of polymerization was 20, and \( f_{\text{MA}} \) was fixed at 35%, implying \( N_{\text{MA}} = 13 \) and \( N_{\text{EMA}} = 7 \). Average hydrophobicity values for methyl, ethyl, and butyl side-chain copolymers were superimposed over the histogram for lysine-rich AMPs.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The SMAMPs are random copolymers composed of methacrylate and aminomethyl methacrylate (MA-co-AEMA) (Figure 1), which have been previously characterized. AEMA has a primary ammonium group and serves as a mimic of lysine. The copolymers’ average degree of polymerization (DP) and average mole fraction of AEMA \( f_{\text{AEMA}} \) are fixed (DP = 20, and \( f_{\text{AEMA}} = 1 - f_{\text{MA}} = 0.65 \pm 0.01 \)) but the alkyl length of the side group of MA is varied (as indicated by \( R = \text{methyl, ethyl, or butyl} \)) to modify the copolymer hydrophobicity (Figure 1). The resultant homologues have approximately equivalent average polymer length and closely resembling side chain composition but tunable balance between hydrophobicity and cationic charge. Growth inhibition and hemolysis assays have demonstrated that these homologous polymer SMAMPs have activity profiles spanning the whole activity range—from inactive (poly-1, when \( R = \text{methyl} \)), over preferentially active against bacteria over human erythrocytes (poly-2, when \( R = \text{ethyl} \)), to unspecifically active against both bacteria and human erythrocytes (poly-3, when \( R = \text{butyl} \))—ideal models for investigating the membrane interactions of SMAMPs systematically.

To test whether the differences in polymer activity against bacteria is correlated with permeabilization of their cell membranes, we examined inner membrane permeability of *E. coli* ML-35 by measuring the \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity with o-nitrophenyl-\( \beta \)-D-galactoside (ONPG) as substrate. The mutant *E. coli* ML-35 \((\Delta y, \Delta y^+, \Delta z)\) has constitutive cytoplasmic \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity but no lactose permease. Intact *E. coli* ML-35 cells therefore cannot hydrolyze ONPG until their cytoplasmic membranes are permeabilized and allow release of \( \beta \)-galactosidase into the solution or diffusion of ONPG into the cytoplasm. o-Nitrophenol (ONP), the product of ONPG hydrolysis by \( \beta \)-galactosidase, shows strong absorption at 400 nm, whereas ONPG does not. Because the hydrolysis of ONPG catalyzed by \( \beta \)-galactosidase is monitored by measuring optical density at 400 nm (OD\(_{400}\)), the rate of increase in OD\(_{400}\) reflects the rate of ONP production and, as a result, the kinetic differences for these different copolymers. Poly-1, the nominally inactive one, required a 48 min incubation with ML-35 cells before inducing a low level OD\(_{400}\) increase. In contrast, within 5 min after addition of poly-2 or immediately after addition of poly-3, OD\(_{400}\) started increasing dramatically and, after 48 min incubation, increased to levels significantly higher than that of poly-1. Poly-3, the most active homologue, caused the largest increase in OD\(_{400}\). These in vitro bacterial assays are consistent with biomimetic vesicle leakage experiments which showed that all the three homologues caused fluorescent dye leakage from bacterial membrane mimicking vesicles with the homologue with the lowest MIC inducing the greatest leakage. Our results indicate that the ability of these homologues for *E. coli* membrane permeabilization track with their inhibitory activity against *E. coli*.

To examine the microscopic origins of bacterial membrane permeabilization by the random copolymers, we used synchrotron small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) to characterize the curvature deformations they induced in model cell membranes. Specifically, we assayed the ability of each homologue to induce negative Gaussian curvature (NGC) deformations. Generation of negative Gaussian curvature is a common physical feature for a broad range of membrane destabilization processes. It has been shown that the amino acid content of AMPs can be related to the need for generating NGC. It will therefore be interesting to examine the similarities and differences in membrane deformation capacity between natural AMPs with defined sequence and conformation and these random polymer SMAMPs.

Small unilamellar vesicles composed of 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-[phospho-rac-(1-glycerol)] (sodium salt) (DOPG) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE) at
ratio of DOPG/DOPE = 20/80 are used as first-order model membranes for Gram-negative bacteria. Before exposure to a copolymer, the SAXS profile shows a broad form factor expected for unilamellar vesicles (Figure 3a, bottom curve).

For the most active homologue poly-3 (Figure 3a, top curve), the appearance of scattering peaks on SAXS pro

After exposure to the copolymers (P/L = 1/105), the SUVs induced inverse cubic phases are coexisting

Figure 3. (a) SAXS profiles indicates that exposure to copolymer (starting from top: poly-3, poly-2, and poly-1) transits model bacterial membranes (bottom) into inverse cubic phases rich in negative Gaussian curvature topologically necessary for a variety of membrane destabilization processes. (b) Relationships of the measured \( q \) positions of the observed scattering peaks show that the copolymer-induced inverse cubic phases are coexisting \( Pn3m \) and \( Im3m \) lattices. (c) 3D schematic representations of the minimal curvature surface of \( Pn3m \) (left) and \( Im3m \) (right), respectively. (d) Summary on the average saddle-splay curvature, \( \langle K \rangle \), induced by copolymer at varying \( P/L \).

After exposure to the copolymer (P/L = 1/105), the SUVs underwent drastic topological transformation as indicated by the appearance of scattering peaks on SAXS profile (Figure 3a). For the most active homologue poly-3 (Figure 3a, top curve), the observed scattering peaks can be indexed to coexisting \( Im3m \) and \( Pn3m \) inverse cubic lattices according to relationships of the measured \( q \) positions. A first set of scattering peaks, starting at \( q = 0.306 \text{ nm}^{-1} \), have the measured \( q \) positions satisfy ratios of \( \sqrt{2} : \sqrt{3} : \sqrt{4} : \sqrt{6} : \sqrt{8} : \sqrt{10} \) characteristic of an inverse cubic “\( Pn3m \)” lattice which has a calculated lattice parameter \( a_{Pn3m} = 22.40 \text{ nm} \) (Figure 3b, top, black square). The calculated lattice parameters of the two observed cubic phases have a ratio of \( a_{Im3m}/a_{Pn3m} = 1.28 \), which satisfies the Bonnet ratio of 1.279 for coexisting \( Im3m \) and \( Pn3m \) lattices. Poly-2 and poly-1 also generated coexisting \( Pn3m \) and \( Im3m \) lattices represent two examples of the inverse bicontinuous cubic phases (QII) where two interlinked but separated water channels weave their way through a single continuous lipid bilayer, leaving the bilayer midplane with negative Gaussian curvature (NGC, or equivalently, saddle-splay curvature) at every point (Figure 3c,d). Generation of NGC is topologically necessary for a variety of membrane destabilizing processes including membrane pore formation, micellization, blebbing, and budding. Our observations of QII phases suggest that abiotic SMAMPs may destabilize membranes by generating NGC, as do natural AMPs.46 Interestingly, all three SMAMPs generate NGC in the bacterial model membrane, which is consistent with the observed E. coli membrane permeabilization studies above. We next compare the quantity of NGC generated by AMPs and SMAMPs.

The amount of induced NGC tracks with the antimicrobial activity of these SMAMPs. For an induced cubic phase, its average NGC (or average saddle-splay curvature) in the unit cell is \( \langle K \rangle = 2\pi\chi/(a^2A_0) \), where \( \chi \) is the Euler characteristic, \( a \) is the lattice parameter, and \( A_0 \) is surface area per unit cell.47 From the SAXS profiles (Figure 2), the average NGC in the unit cell of the induced cubic structures enables quantitative comparison between the amount of NGC generated by SMAMPs and that generated by AMPs. Defensins (\( \alpha \), \( \beta \), \( \theta \)), a well-characterized family of \( \beta \)-sheet AMPs, generated NGC with \( \langle K \rangle \) of 0.025–0.050 nm\(^{-2}\) .46,48 Compared to defensins, the polymeric SMAMPs clearly show similar curvature generating activity against lipid membranes, giving \( \langle K \rangle \) of 0.008–0.042 nm\(^{-2}\) as \( P/L \) was varied in the range of 1/210–1/55 (Figure 3d), with increasing \( P/L \) producing greater \( \langle K \rangle \) for all three SMAMPs. Our results demonstrate that the polydisperse polymer SMAMPs generated similar amounts of saddle-splay curvature as the monodisperse natural AMPs.

Interestingly, the copolymer-induced QII scattering peaks are very sharp and correspond to a single \( \langle K \rangle \) value, as observed with AMPs.46 The sharpness of the correlation peaks imply that a large number of unit cells with homogeneous structures are generated by the SMAMPs, which is surprising. Whereas naturally occurring AMPs are generally homogeneous in amino acid sequence and most of them have well-defined secondary structures such as \( \alpha \)-helix or \( \beta \)-sheet, SMAMPs are random copolymers which are heterogeneous in terms of chemical structure (random monomer sequence, polydispersity, and tacticity) and conformation (random coil). Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) results confirm that our random copolymers have polydispersity index PDI = 1.52–1.79 (Table S1). In previous work, we have shown how NGC-inducing peptides generate different quantitative amounts of NGC via changes in peptide sequence49 and length.50 Heterogeneous polymeric SMAMPs are therefore intuitively expected to generate heterogeneous structures in lipid membranes. How does an ensemble of heterogeneous copolymers induce highly correlated homogeneous structures?
in lipid membranes, similar to those induced by homogeneous AMPs? A number of explanations suggest themselves. It is possible that only a subset of the polydisperse ensemble of copolymer chains—those chains with optimal polymer length and monomer sequence for NGC generation—are thereby incorporated into the cubic structures, whereas the rest of polymer chains are excluded from the cubic structures or Qs phase forming processes. That the MIC’s of heterogeneous SMAMPs are similar to those of homogeneous natural AMPs argues against this type of explanation. We hypothesize that the conformational flexibility of the lipid membrane itself plays an important role in integrating over the heterogeneity of SMAMP sequences. The distribution of NGC in bicontinuous cubic phases50,51 implies that different parts of the membrane surface in the induced cubic structures are themselves heterogeneous, and the heterogeneous structures of bicontinuous cubic phases can therefore accommodate copolymers with different lengths, structures, and compositions according to their individual preferred membrane curvature. Moreover, the conformational flexibility of oligomeric SMAMPs can significantly enhance their ability to be incorporated into membranes: flexible acyl-lysyl oligomers efficiently penetrated into membranes composed of lipid A, whereas antimicrobial peptides which adopt rigid secondary structures upon binding a membrane surface and rigid arylamide foldmer mimics failed to do so.52 For polymeric SMAMPs which have flexible polymer backbones, their lack of rigid secondary structures may also facilitate their incorporation into the cubic structures induced in lipid membranes. Both of these effects can contribute to the accommodation of heterogeneous polymer chains into an ordered structure with well-defined curvatures.

The heterogeneous methacrylate-based SMAMPs showed selective activity against bacteria over host cells, as do natural AMPs. The selective activity of AMPs and SMAMPs against bacteria over host cells is commonly ascribed to their preferential electrostatic binding to the anionic bacterial membranes. 1,53 The electrostatic binding is indeed an important component of activity in that it is a necessary condition for initiating membrane surface association. However, we found that the concentration of negative intrinsic curvature lipids in the target membrane is also an important factor in the cell selectivity.54,55 Bacterial membranes are characterized by anionic lipids such as PG and negative-intrinsic-curvature (NIC) lipids such as PE, both of which are rare in the outer leaflets of mammalian membranes, which are rich in zwitterionic lipids such as phosphocholine (PC). To understand how heterogeneous methacrylate-based SMAMPs result in selective activity, we examined the NGC generating capacity of the SMAMPs for different membrane compositions. To mimic membrane lipid composition of target membranes typically encountered in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, we used ternary membranes composed of DOPG, DOPE, and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC). Both DOPG and DOPC have zero intrinsic curvature (C0 ≈ 0), whereas the zwitterionic DOPE has negative intrinsic curvature (C0 < 0). By varying the ratio of DOPE to DOPC in membranes with fixed DOPE content (20%, a value typical to bacterial membranes), we isolated membrane curvature from membrane surface charge density. Phase diagrams (Figure 4) indicate that induced Qs phases from copolymers of different antimicrobial activity profiles require different minimum threshold PE content PE% in lipid membranes. Both poly-1 (Figure 4a) and poly-2 (Figure 4b) induced Qs phases in PE-rich membranes (DOPG/DOPE/DOPC 20/00/80) but do not do so in membranes with reduced PE% at all tested P/L. In contrast, for poly-3, the induced Qs phases persist in PE%-reduced DOPG/DOPE/DOPC/DOPE = 20/20/60 membranes (Figure 4c). Thus, the minimum threshold PE% values required by copolymer to induce Qs phases have the order of poly-1 ∼ poly-2 > poly-3. This indicates that, compared to poly-1 and poly-2, poly-3 can induce NGC for membrane destabilization in target membranes of the lower PE concentration. This is consistent with the bacterial membrane permeation studies of Figure 2, where poly-3 caused significantly higher membrane permeabilization in E. coli. In particular, poly-1 also generates significant NGC at PG/PE = 20/80, which is consistent with its inner membrane permeation of E. coli (Figure 2) whose membrane lipids contains PE at ~75% of and PG at ~20%. It is interesting to contrast this phase behavior of random copolymers with that exhibited by monodisperse and structurally homogeneous AMPs. The magainins, which are rich in lysines and rich in aliphatic hydrophobes and are therefore chemically similar to the SMAMPs here, generate NGC over much narrower ranges of P/L ratios.56 This difference may also impact the specificity and kinetics of membrane permeabilization, since more lipid diffusion will be necessary for the AMPs to generate the local P/L ratios required for NGC generation.

It is informative to compare quantitatively the level of hydrophobicity and cationic charge in the SMAMPs compared to all the known naturally occurring AMPs. For these MA-co-AEMA random copolymers, increases in hydrophobicity (at fixed DP and fAEMA) generally led to their activity to progress from nonactive, to preferentially active against bacteria, and finally to nonspecifically active against both bacteria and eukaryotic cells. Similar trends have been observed for
membrane-active antimicrobial peptides (AMPs).\textsuperscript{62,63} For AMPs, established scales have been used to quantify peptide hydrophobicity and relate this quantity to peptide activity and selectivity.\textsuperscript{46,61} For SMAMPs like our methacrylate copolymers, structure–activity relationships have shown a correlation between hemolytic activity and hydrophobicity as quantified by water–octanol partition coefficients, \( \log P \).\textsuperscript{36,37,64} Since hydrophobicity scales such as the Wimley–White whole residue octanol scale\textsuperscript{10} employ similar procedures for determining the hydrophobicity of individual amino acids, in principle, polymers composed of methacrylates can be directly compared to peptides composed of amino acids using a common hydrophobicity scale.

To test the viability of direct comparison between the hydrophobicities of natural AMPs and our methacrylate polymers, we translated published \( \log P \) values for the methacrylates into free energies of transfer, \( \Delta G \), according to the Wimley–White octanol scale (see Materials and Methods), and compared the corresponding polymer average hydrophobicity, (hydrophobicity), values with those for lysine-rich AMPs (Figure 5a). It should be noted that because the average methacrylate differ between studies, which produce differences in (hydrophobicity) for each polymer, as shown in see Figure S3. However, these trends are still observed when four different methacrylate \( \log P \) sets are used: There is good overlap between polymers and lysine-rich AMPs, and the polymers are more hydrophobic. The methyl, ethyl, and butyl versions of the SMAMP are more hydrophobic than 60%, 81%, and 99% of the AMPs, respectively. The superimposed (hydrophobicity) values for common AMPs also display the correlation between hydrophobicity and nonspecificity. Both the specifically active magainin-2 and dermaseptin are less hydrophobic than nonspecifically active melittin and mastoparan venoms. The short 13AA AMP temporin L is even more hydrophobic, which corresponds well with its hemolytic activity.\textsuperscript{65} These data illustrate that (hydrophobicity) is a common contributing factor to the activity and specificity of both methacrylate polymers and AMPs.

Comparison of cationicity in the methacrylate polymers and AMPs shows that these copolymers carry substantially more amine groups than lysine-rich AMPs (Figure 5b). With a fixed \( f_{\text{AMA}} = 65\% \), the methacrylates are 4 times more amine-rich than an average AMP (15\%), and at DP = 20, their average +13 charge is much greater than the average number of lysines, \( N_k = 3.75 \), in an AMP. Therefore, while the activities of these methacrylate copolymers can be tuned by changing their alkyl length of MA without altering the monomer compositions, their overall cationic and hydrophobic properties still deviate from those of natural AMPs. In order to achieve greater fidelity in the mimesis of natural AMPs, existing SMAMPs will need to be tuned to a different range of cationic charge and hydrophobicity. We hypothesize that this shift toward higher hydrophobicity and higher charge in the SMAMPs may be a consequence of the randomness of the monomer sequence and distribution of monomer compositions, compared with AMPs.

One natural question that emerges is why the polydisperse random copolymer-based SMAMPs require simultaneously more cationic charge and more hydrophobicity in order to achieve similar levels of membrane NGC as AMPs? A number of possible explanations can be proposed, but we believe the answer is related at least in part to the fundamental nature of electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions at the nanoscale: A high charge density array of closely spaced cationic charge does not behave in the same manner as the same number of isolated charges far apart. For example, for a linear charged polymer with an intercharge distance of less than the Bjerrum length (∼7.8 Å), Manning condensation will "dress" the polymer with a layer of counterions.\textsuperscript{66,67} Consequently, there will be a strong thermodynamic driving force for such cationic polymers to bind to anionic membranes of similar charge density, due to the entropy gain of counterion release.\textsuperscript{68} This does not occur if the local charge density of the polymer were significantly lower. Because of their random copolymer nature, only a part of the SMAMPs have local cationic charge densities optimized for membrane binding, while the rest of the cationic charges do not contribute significantly to activity. Likewise, the effective hydrophobicity of 2 nm sized hydrophobic residues in close proximity is significantly different than the hydrophobicity of the same two hydrophobic residues far apart. For small hydrophobes (up to ∼1 nm), the interaction is mainly entropic, whereas for larger hydrophobes, the interaction will have a volume-dependent entropic contribution and a surface-area-dependent enthalpic contribution.\textsuperscript{69} Hydrophobic sequences in AMPs optimized for insertion or binding will be more

![Figure 5](image-url). Comparison of SMAMPs and AMPs on their (hydrophobicity) and cationic charge fractions.
“efficient” and achieve the same function with few residues compared to random copolymers. Taken together, these considerations imply that by virtue of their specific sequences and the selective clustering that can result, natural AMPs can use their hydrophobic residues and cationic residues more efficiently than a random sequence and generate the same effects without as many residues.

■ CONCLUSIONS
In summary, we find that SMAMPs permeate bacterial inner membranes in vitro and both SMAMPs and AMPs generate quantitatively similar amounts of membrane NGC. Comparisons between SMAMPs and the chemically similar lysine-containing AMPs indicate that, although the membrane curvatures they generated are similar, the SMAMPs require significantly higher levels of hydrophobicity and cationic charge to achieve the same level of membrane deformation. Such a shift toward higher hydrophobicity and higher charge in the SMAMPs may be a consequence of the cooperative nature of electrostatic interactions and hydrophobic interactions at the nanoscale, combined with the randomness of the methacrylates relative to AMPs.
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