Nonmotile Subpopulations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Repress Flagellar Motility in Motile Cells through a Type IV Pilus- and Pel-Dependent Mechanism
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**ABSTRACT** The downregulation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* flagellar motility is a key event in biofilm formation, host colonization, and the formation of microbial communities, but the external factors that repress motility are not well understood. Here, we report that on soft agar, swarming motility can be repressed by cells that are nonmotile due to the absence of a flagellum or flagellar rotation. Mutants that lack either flagellum biosynthesis or rotation, when present at as little as 5% of the total population, suppressed swarming of wild-type cells. Non-swarming cells required functional type IV pili and the ability to produce Pel exopolysaccharide to suppress swarming by the flagellated wild type. Flagellated cells required only type IV pili, but not Pel production, for their swarming to be repressed by non-flagellated cells. We hypothesize that interactions between motile and nonmotile cells may enhance the formation of sessile communities, including those involving multiple genotypes, phenotypically diverse cells, and perhaps other species.

**IMPORTANCE** Our study shows that, under the conditions tested, a small population of non-swarming cells can impact the motility behavior of a larger population. The interactions that lead to the suppression of swarming motility require type IV pili and a secreted polysaccharide, two factors with known roles in biofilm formation. These data suggest that interactions between motile and nonmotile cells may enhance the transition to sessile growth in populations and promote interactions between cells with different genotypes.
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of sessile cells via a combination of processes which may differ between strains with distinct early biofilm-forming strategies (4, 5) or in response to different experimental conditions. For P. aeruginosa strain PA14, retractile type IV pili (T4P) participate in surface sensing, which results in the upregulation of cAMP and the subsequent induction of cyclic-di-GMP (6–8); flagellar motility is then downregulated in order to facilitate surface attachment (6, 7, 9, 10) followed by Pel exopolysaccharide matrix production (11), which can connect cells to one another (10, 12). Both matrix production and T4P function have been shown to participate in motility repression (10, 12–14), suggesting that matrix materials may mediate physical interactions between neighboring cells. T4P also mediate cell-cell interactions in swarms (15).

Boyle et al. (16) previously showed that a ΔflgK mutant lacking a flagellum repressed swarming (i.e., decreased the swarm radius) when present in excess (83%) compared to the flagellated wild type (WT; 17%). In support of the observation that a non-motile subpopulation can suppress population-wide swarming, our previously published findings (3) showed that while WT strain PA14 was able to swim in soft agar, an average of only 38% of flagellated cells were motile at any given time. Furthermore, we showed that ethanol reduced the motile fraction of the P. aeruginosa population from 38% to 22%. Ethanol also strongly repressed swarming, and this repression required some T4P components (3). Thus, we proposed that a reduction in the motile fraction of cells was sufficient to repress swarming by the entire population, and sought to explore the interactions between motile and non-motile cells in the context of swarms.

In this study, we show that non-motile cells lacking a flagellum, when added to a population at 5% to 75% of the total inoculum, resulted in repression of swarming by WT cells. To repress flagellar motility, non-motile cells required the ability to produce Pel polysaccharide, and both motile and non-motile cells required functional T4P for this interaction. These data have implications for factors which contribute to population-level behaviors and intra- and interspecies interactions. Conditions that promote cell-cell interactions may be relevant in situations where non-motile and motile cells can be found together, such as in cystic fibrosis (CF)-associated lung infections (17–19), wounds (20), and ear infections (18).

RESULTS

The absence of flagellar motility in a subpopulation of cells is sufficient to suppress wild-type P. aeruginosa swarming. To explore the effects of a subpopulation of non-motile cells on swarming motility, mixtures containing different proportions of flagellated P. aeruginosa strain PA14 (WT) cells and non-flagellated ΔflgK mutant cells lacking the flagellar hook protein were grown on 0.5% M8 agar, which supports swarming motility by the WT strain. The percentage of ΔflgK mutant cells ranged from 5% to 75% in these mixtures, and single strains were included as controls. As expected, cultures with 100% WT swarmed readily, while cultures with 100% ΔflgK showed no swarming (Fig. 1A). We found that swarming motility was completely repressed by the addition of 5 to 75% ΔflgK cells under the conditions tested (Fig. 1A and C). The addition of 1 to 4% ΔflgK was insufficient to repress population-wide swarming (Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), though it is worth noting that a swarming phenotype was variable between technical and biological replicates when the fraction of nonmotile cells was small. This finding is consistent with the variability in swarming ability among replicates that has been previously reported even for single-strain cultures (21, 22), and thus all data for all experiments are summarized in Fig. S1. The ΔflgK mutant, when added at 5% of the population, regularly repressed WT swarming (Fig. S1). In contrast to our finding, work by Boyle et al. (16) reported that ΔflgK repressed swarming only when it was present in excess of the WT. Specifically, the authors reported repression, measured by a reduction in swarm radius, when there was a 1:5 ratio of WT to the ΔflgK mutant; however, images for 1:1 WT:ΔflgK mixes may also suggest a reduction of the swarm area even though swarm radius was not yet affected.

To determine whether the lack of swarming in WT:ΔflgK co-cultures was due to faster growth of the non-flagellated cells compared to the WT, we competed both the
WT and the ΔflgK mutant against a WT strain tagged with a lacZ reporter at different ratios atop a 0.22-μm filter placed on 0.5% M8 agar. After 16 h, the colony was disrupted and the CFU for each strain were enumerated on blue/white screening plates containing X-Gal. At all ratios tested, neither untagged WT nor the ΔflgK mutant could outgrow the lacZ-expressing WT strain (Fig. 1B), indicating that the lack of swimming observed in WT:ΔflgK mixes was not due to increased growth of non-flagellated cells and thus, to overgrowth of the population. Rather, our data suggest that a subpopulation of cells incapable of flagellar motility inhibits the motility of the larger flagellated WT population.

Using conditions in which the non-motile strain comprised 25%, 50%, or 75% of the population, we also found that, like the ΔflgK mutant, the ΔflIC mutant, another strain incapable of flagellar motility due to a lack of the flagellin protein used to make the flagellar filament, inhibited WT swarming at all ratios tested (Fig. 1C).
motABCD mutant, which produces a flagellum that is unable to rotate due to the absence of stators, repressed swarming of the WT; however, compared to ΔflgK and ΔflIC, the ΔmotABCD mutant was slightly less effective at repressing WT swarming (Fig. 1C). Together, these data suggest that the absence of flagellar motility in a subpopulation of cells is sufficient to suppress WT swarming in co-culture.

Functional T4P in flagellated cells are required for non-flagellated cells to repress swarming in co-culture. Several studies have shown that P. aeruginosa strains which are deficient in T4P tend to have a hyper-swarming phenotype (23–25), while hyperpiliated strains (those that overproduce elongated pili due to a defect in retraction) have inhibited swarming (15, 26). Additionally, P. aeruginosa cells have been shown to interact via their T4P to form close cell associations that facilitate directional swarming (15). Therefore, we determined whether flagellated cells require T4P in order to facilitate the ability of ΔflgK to inhibit population-wide swarming motility. To do this, we analyzed the ability of ΔflgK to repress swarming when co-cultured with the following mutants: ΔpilA, which lacks T4P; ΔpilMNOP, which lacks the alignment complex required for T4P function (27) and cyclic-di-GMP signaling (28); and both ΔpilT and ΔpilU, hyperpiliated mutants which lack either of the ATPases that mediate T4P retraction (29). Strains lacking pilA, pilMNOP, pilT, and pilU were all defective for twitching motility (Fig. 2 and Fig. S4). The swarming motility of strains lacking pilA or pilMNOP was no longer inhibited by the ΔflgK mutant in co-culture (Fig. 2 and Fig. S4). Interestingly, despite its reported hyperpiliation and in contrast to previous reports (15), ΔpilU was capable of swarming, but had an altered morphology compared to the WT (Fig. 2). Swarming by ΔpilU was inhibited by the ΔflgK mutant in co-culture, similar to the WT (Fig. 2 and Fig. S5). However, the hyperpiliated ΔpilT mutant was incapable of swarming (Fig. 2), in agreement with previous models (15). Complementation of the ΔpilU and ΔpilT mutants restored twitching in both strains as well as swarming in ΔpilT (Fig. S6).

PiIT is the main retractile ATPase, and the ΔpilT mutant completely lacks pilus retraction (26, 30), while the ΔpilU mutant has reduced retraction but still retains some
function (26). This accessory role is supported by previous observations that the ΔpilU mutant retained sensitivity to infection by phage PO4, while the ΔpilT mutant became resistant to infection (26). We confirmed that the WT and the ΔpilU mutant were sensitive to phage DMS3 infection and that the ΔpilA and ΔpilT mutants were resistant to infection under our experimental conditions (Fig. S2B). Additionally, while the ΔpilU mutant can form dense biofilms under static conditions (30), its ability to form a biofilm under flow conditions varies by strain (30, 31), possibly due to differences in ΔpilU T4P strength compared to WT and ΔpilT T4P. Differences in T4P strength may allow ΔpilU T4P to readily detach from the cell surface (26, 30). Overall, the data show that ΔpilU displays an intermediate phenotype in that it is hyperpiliated due to reduced T4P retraction, which still results in inhibition of T4P-mediated twitching motility, but not swarming motility. Together, these data indicate that motile cells require T4P, and likely functional T4P, for the non-flagellated subpopulation to inhibit the swarming motility of the WT strain when they are grown in co-culture.

P. aeruginosa T4P participate in a cAMP-dependent signaling pathway (see Fig. S7A for pathway) which involves FimS, PilU, CyaAB adenylate cyclases, and the cAMP-binding transcription factor Vfr (6). We found that this pathway was not required for repression of WT swarming motility by the ΔfgK mutant (Fig. S7B), using published mutants lacking components of the cAMP signaling pathway (ΔpilU, ΔfimS, ΔcyaAB, and Δvfr) which retained expression of partially functional T4P, as evidenced by their sensitivity to phage DMS3 (Fig. S7C). Taken together, these data show that flagellated WT cells require functional T4P, but not the cAMP-dependent surface-sensing pathway, for non-flagellated cells to be able to repress swimming in co-culture.

Non-flagellated cells require functional T4P to repress swimming motility of flagellated cells in co-culture. Consistent with published results (4, 32, 33), the ΔfgK mutant has functional T4P, as evidenced by the formation of a large twitching motility zone using an agar-plastic interface assay (Fig. S2A and Fig. S3). While both the WT and ΔfgK formed twitch zones that were significantly larger than those formed by the T4P-null ΔpilA mutant, the ΔfgK twitch zone was ~25% smaller than that formed by the WT (P < 0.05; Fig. S3A). Using ΔfgKΔpilA strains, we found that pilA, which encodes the major pilin component of T4P, was required for the ΔfgK mutant to suppress WT swarming on 0.5% M8 agar (Fig. 3A). To assess whether ΔfgK cells needed functional pilin to repress the WT, ΔfgK lacking either pilU (reduced T4P retraction) or pilT (no T4P retraction) were mixed with the WT. At all ratios tested, ΔfgKΔpilU and ΔfgKΔpilT were no longer able to repress WT swimming, like ΔfgKΔpilA, indicating that the ΔfgK mutant required fully functional pilin to repress population-wide swimming (Fig. 3A and Fig. S8). Complementation of pilU and pilT in ΔfgKΔpilU and ΔfgKΔpilT, respectively, was confirmed to restore twitching motility (Fig. S9); the effect of complementation on swimming motility was not assessed given that ΔfgK does not swim. In a similar assay using 0.3% M63 agar, which supports both flagellum-mediated swimming and swarming, we found that inoculated spots of ΔfgK cells (Fig. 3B, red dots) decreased local expansion of the motile WT population, while spots of the ΔfgKΔpilA mutant (Fig. 3B, purple dots) did not. In contrast to the effects of ΔfgK cells on flagellar motility, the ΔfgK mutant did not alter T4P-dependent twitching motility of the WT in a 50:50 ratio compared to the that of the WT alone (Fig. S3B). Therefore, the data show that functional T4P are required for non-flagellated cells to inhibit flagellar motility (swimming and swarming) of WT cells in co-culture.

Non-flagellated P. aeruginosa requires Pel matrix production to repress swimming motility in the flagellated population. We next explored whether Pel matrix production played a role in swimming repression by using a ΔpelA mutant, which is capable of robust swarming but lacks PelA-mediated deacetylase and hydrolase activities and, subsequently, secretion of properly modified Pel polysaccharide (34). We found that swarming by the ΔpelA mutant was repressed by ΔfgK in co-culture, similar to the WT (Fig. 4A). In contrast, ΔfgKΔpelA did not repress WT swarming (Fig. 4A). Flagellar mutants, such as ΔflIC, have been reported to overexpress Pel and Psl polysaccharides (35). Both ΔfgK and ΔflIC, which repress WT swarming (Fig. 1), had increased Congo red-binding,
which is consistent with increased Pel exopolysaccharide production (Fig. 4B and Fig. S10). Additionally, while \( \Delta\text{flgK} \) (no T4P), \( \Delta\text{flgK}\Delta\text{pilA} \) (reduced T4P retraction), and \( \Delta\text{flgK}\Delta\text{pilT} \) (no T4P retraction) no longer repressed population-wide swarming motility (Fig. 2A), this did not appear to be due to a change in Pel production, as all \( \Delta\text{flgK} \) mutants displayed an increase in Congo red-binding (Pel production) (Fig. 4B and Fig. S10). Together, these data show that the non-flagellated strain needs to produce Pel matrix to repress swarming motility, but the flagellated strain does not.

**DISCUSSION**

Based on the data presented here, we propose a model (Fig. 5) whereby a subpopulation of cells defective in flagellar motility limits the swarming motility of the entire population.
population. Like Boyle et al. (16), we found that non-motile mutants (e.g., ΔflgK, ΔflIC) can repress WT swarming and, in our assays, only 5% of the population needed to lack flagellar motility to repress swarming by motile cells. Thus, our published findings (3) that ethanol repression of P. aeruginosa flagellar motility may be the result of a combination of direct motility reduction in some cells and interactions between motile and non-motile cells. The number of non-flagellated cells needed to repress WT motility may vary due to experimental conditions, such as medium type and composition. Our analyses revealed that non-flagellated cells required retractile T4P and Pel polysaccharide to inhibit the larger swarming population, and that flagellated cells required retractile T4P, but not the Vfr/cAMP signaling system, to respond to the non-flagellated population. The involvement of T4P and Pel in the recruitment of cells parallels previous studies on microcolony formation during biofilm development and aggregate formation, which has been reported in numerous contexts (e.g., O’Toole and Kolter [4]). While cAMP was not required for the repression of swarming, our previous findings showed that ethanol decreased swarming motility by increasing cyclic-di-GMP (3). Future studies will investigate the role of cyclic-di-GMP levels in the ΔflgK-mediated repression of WT swarming. Furthermore, given that ΔflgK requires retractile T4P and Pel to repress WT swarming, and overproduces Pel compared to the WT, additional studies will test how varying the amount of Pel and TFP in WT and mutant populations contributes to repression of population-wide swarming.

The involvement of T4P in swarming cells was previously reported by Anyan et al. (15), who reported that T4P impact cell-cell interactions in swarms in ways which limit the movement of cells away from the population front. T4P-null mutants have been shown to have increased swarming motility (23–25), while hyperpiliated mutants have been shown to have decreased swarming motility, compared to the WT (15, 26), suggesting that the intercellular T4P interactions we reported between flagellated and non-flagellated genotypes may also be occurring in single-strain swarms in which all cells have the potential for flagellar motility. Not only may intercellular T4P interactions be occurring in single-strain swarms, but also appropriate flagellum-mediated shear forces during swarming motility (36). This could account for the ability of ΔpilU, a hyperpiliated mutant with fragile and readily sheared T4P, to swarm; unlike ΔpilT, a hyperpiliated mutant with more robust T4P (26, 30). Future studies will determine whether T4P play direct roles in cell-cell interactions or indirect roles in structuring the community.

The WT and ΔpelA mutant responded similarly to non-flagellated cells, while ΔflgKΔpelA was no longer able to repress swarming, suggesting that not all cells need...
to produce exopolysaccharide to repress swarming. Our findings that Pel production is only necessary in the non-flagellated subpopulation is supported by published data showing that ethanol not only decreases P. aeruginosa flagellar motility (3), but increases Pel production (37). Pel has been suggested to repress motility via the steric hinderance of flagella (3, 38), but further studies are needed to test this hypothesis. The involvement of Pel in the interaction between flagellated and non-flagellated cells is particularly interesting in light of recent work by Whitfield et al. (39), which found that the Pel biosynthetic locus is widespread across Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Future studies will determine whether Pel is specifically detected by a T4P-dependent mechanism.

In the studies here, we seeded a low percentage of non-swarming cells into a swarming population, reminiscent of genetically heterogeneous populations in the CF airway or other chronic infections (19, 40, 41), as well as during normal environmental growth (41, 42). Additionally, clinical P. aeruginosa isolates from the CF lung have diverse motility phenotypes (19). This diversity in motility is even observed within single patients (19). We hypothesize that such a mechanism promotes inter- and intraspecies interactions. The strong implication of these findings is that in a mixed population with a subpopulation of cells deficient in flagellar motility, the entire population can be brought to a halt. Similarly, in a population in which a significant number of cells have stopped swimming or swarming, the further addition of even a few flagellar-mutant cells can suppress the motility of the entire population (e.g., the ethanol studies mentioned above). As P. aeruginosa populations inherently have some number of non-motile or inactive cells (3), future studies are required to determine how strain background or mutant genotype affects phenotypic heterogeneity among isogenic cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and media. Strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. P. aeruginosa strain PA14 and derivatives were routinely cultured on lysogeny broth (LB; 1% tryptone [wt/vol], 0.5% yeast extract [wt/vol], and 0.5% NaCl [wt/vol]) solidified with 1.5% agar or in LB broth at 37°C with shaking. For P. aeruginosa phenotypic assays, either M63 (22 mM KH₂PO₄, 40 mM K₂HPO₄, and 15 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄) or MB (42 mM Na₂PO₄, 22 mM KH₂PO₄, and 8.5 mM NaCl) minimal salts medium supplemented with MgSO₄ (1 mM), glucose (0.2%), and Casamino acids (CAA; 0.5%) were used as indicated. Complemented strains containing genes regulated by an arabinose-inducible promoter (P₄₃₃) were grown in the presence of 0.25% arabinose.

Construction and complementation of mutant strains. Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Plasmid constructs for making in-frame deletions and arabinose-inducible complementation strains were constructed using either a Saccharomyces cerevisiae recombination technique, described previously (43), or Gibson assembly. All plasmids were sequenced at the Molecular Biology Core at the Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth. Plasmids were introduced into P. aeruginosa by conjugation via S17/λpir E. coli. Merodiploids were selected by drug resistance, and double recombinants were obtained using sucrose counter-selection and genotype screening by PCR.

Swarming motility assays. Swarm assays were performed as previously described (44). Briefly, 9 mL of M8 medium with 0.5% agar (swarm agar) was poured into 60 × 15 mm plates and allowed to dry at room temperature (−25°C) for 3 h prior to inoculation. The indicated strains were grown for 16 h, then each was washed in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then normalized to an OD₆₀₀ (optical density at 600 nm) of 1. Indicated isolates were then mixed at the indicated ratios to a final volume of 100 μL. Each plate was inoculated with 0.5 μL of the liquid culture mixture, and the plates were incubated face-up at 37°C for up to 22 h in stacks of no more than four plates. Each mixture was inoculated in three to four replicates and assessed on at least three separate days. Images were captured using a Canon EOS Rebel T6i camera and images assessed for swarm repression.

Swimming motility assays. Swim assays were performed as previously described (44). Briefly, M63 medium solidified with 0.3% agar (soft agar) was poured into 100 × 15 mm petri plates and allowed to dry to room temperature (−25°C) for 3 h prior to inoculation. The indicated strains were grown for 16 h, then each was washed in 1× PBS and then normalized to an OD₆₀₀ of 1. Indicated isolates were then mixed at the indicated ratios to a final volume of 100 μL. Sterile toothpicks or P20 pipette tips were used to inoculate bacterial mixes into the center of the agar without touching the bottom of the plate. No more than four bacterial mixes were assessed per plate. Plates were incubated upright at 37°C in stacks of no more than four plates per stack for 18 to 20 h.

Twitching motility assays. T-broth (1% tryptone [wt/vol], 0.5% NaCl [wt/vol]) medium solidified with 1.5% agar was poured into petri plates and allowed to dry at room temperature (−25°C) for 3 h. Overnight (16 h) cultures were washed once in 1× PBS and then normalized to an OD₆₀₀ of 1. Plates were inoculated by dipping a sterile toothpick or P20 pipette tip into the washed and normalized cultures and then inserting the toothpick into the agar until it touched the bottom of the plate. Plates were then incubated at 37°C for 46 h after which time the agar was removed and the plate incubated in 0.1% (wt/vol) crystal violet...
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TABLE 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Lab strain</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA14</td>
<td>DH123</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA14 att::locZ</td>
<td>DH22</td>
<td>WT with constitutive expression of lacZ</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgK</td>
<td>DH1075</td>
<td>flgK::Tn5830(Tc); nonmotile</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflIC</td>
<td>DH3543</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flIC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔmotABCD</td>
<td>SMC7230</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of motABCD</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilMNOP</td>
<td>DH2475</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of pilMNOP</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilA</td>
<td>DH2636</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of pilA</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δpela</td>
<td>DH97 or SMC2893</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of pela</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpela</td>
<td>DH3541</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pela</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilA</td>
<td>DH3539</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pilA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilAΔpela</td>
<td>DH3542</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK, pilA, and pela</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilT</td>
<td>DH3591 or SMC7302</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of pilT</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilU</td>
<td>DH3592 or SMC7304</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of pilU</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilJ</td>
<td>DH6337 or SMC2992</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of pilJ</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflmS</td>
<td>SMC6967</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flmS</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔcyaAB</td>
<td>DH2648 or SMC6707</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of cyaAB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δvfr</td>
<td>DH2701 or SMC6722</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of vfr</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilU att::Pbad'ara</td>
<td>SMC7826</td>
<td>Unmarked ΔpilU (DH3592/SMC7304) with arabinose-inducible promoter at the attTn7 site, control, GmR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilT att::Pbad'ara</td>
<td>SMC8882</td>
<td>Unmarked ΔpilT (DH3591/SMC7302) with arabinose-inducible promoter at the attTn7 site, control, GmR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpilT att::Pbad'pilT</td>
<td>SMC8883</td>
<td>Unmarked ΔpilT (DH3591/SMC7302) with arabinose-inducible promoter at the attTn7 site, control, GmR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilU</td>
<td>DH3950</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pilU</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilT</td>
<td>DH3951</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pilT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilU att::Pbad'ara</td>
<td>DH3952</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pilU with arabinose-inducible promoter at the attTn7 site, control, GmR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilU att::Pbad'pilU</td>
<td>DH3953</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pilU with arabinose-inducible promoter at the attTn7 site, control, GmR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilU att::Pbad'pilT</td>
<td>DH3954</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pilT with arabinose-inducible promoter at the attTn7 site, control, GmR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔflgKΔpilU att::Pbad'pilT</td>
<td>DH3955</td>
<td>Unmarked deletion of flgK and pilT with arabinose-inducible pilU at the attTn7 site, control, GmR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. coli

S17 λpir | DH71 | Used as a conjugation partner for introducing pMQ30- and GH121-based plasmids |

Plasmids

pUX-BF13 | SMC1852 | Helper plasmid that provides the transposition functions for Tn7 in trans, ApR | 49 |

pMQ56 | SMC3242 | pMQ56 mini Tn7 for insertion at the attTn7 site, ApR | 43 |
pBAD-ara | SMC7453 | pMQ56 mini Tn7 plasmid containing arabinose-inducible Pbad and araC for insertion at the attTn7 site, ApR, GmR | This study |
pPiL-His | SMC7777 | pMQ56 mini Tn7 plasmid containing 6× His-tagged pilU regulated by arabinose-inducible Pbad and araC for insertion at the attTn7 site, ApR, GmR | This study |
pPiT-His | SMC8873 | pMQ56 mini Tn7 plasmid containing 6× His-tagged pilT regulated by arabinose-inducible Pbad and araC for insertion at the attTn7 site, ApR, GmR | This study |
pMQ30 | DH2620 | Suicide vector for allelic replacement; GmR | 43 |
pMQ30 del pilT | SMC7298 | Construct for in-frame deletion of pilT | 31 |
pMQ30 del pilU | SMC7299 | Construct for in-frame deletion of pilU | 31 |

*WT, wild type.

for 10 min. Plates were then washed three times in water and dried at room temperature. Images of the dried, stained twitch area were taken and twitch diameter was measured.

**Competition experiments.** Competition assays were performed to determine relative growth of selected P. aeruginosa strains. Strains were grown for 16 h and then 1 mL of culture was pelleted at 15,682 × g for 2 min, washed once in 1 mL PBS, and then resuspended in 1 mL PBS. The OD600 of each culture was...
normalized to 1. The strains to be competed were mixed at the indicated ratios to a final volume of 100 μL, and then 0.5 μL of the combined suspension was spotted onto a 0.22-μm polycarbonate filter (Millipore) placed on the surface of a swarm plate, in triplicate. Plates were incubated at 37°C. Filters were then transferred to a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube, and filter-associated cells were resuspended by adding 1 mL 1× PBS + 0.05% Triton X-100 detergent and agitating the tubes at high speed for 2 min using a Disruptor Genie (Zymo). This suspension as well as the starting inoculum were diluted, spread on LB plates supplemented with 150 μg/mL 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) using glass beads, and incubated at 30°C until blue colonies were visible (−24 h). The numbers of blue and white colonies per plate were counted and recorded to determine the relative abundance of each strain.

**Phage susceptibility assays.** Phage susceptibility was analyzed by the cross-streak method or by spotting phage directly onto a lawn of *P. aeruginosa*. For the cross-streak method, *P. aeruginosa* strains were grown for 16 h in LB, diluted 1:100 in LB, and then grown to an OD600 of 0.5 to 0.7. Then, 4 μL of phage DSM3 vir strain was spotted on the side of an LB agar plate and dragged across the agar surface in a straight line before being allowed to absorb into the agar. Once absorbed, 4 μL of each *P. aeruginosa* strain was spotted at the top of the agar and dragged downward in a straight line through the phage line. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 16 h.

Alternatively, 1% M8 agar plates (60 × 15 mm) containing 0.2% glucose (vol/vol), 0.5% Casamino Acids (vol/vol), and 1 mM MgSO4 were prepared and cooled to room temperature. A 50-μL volume of *P. aeruginosa* 16 h cultures was added to 1 mL of 0.5% warm top agar (M8 medium and supplements). The mixture was gently mixed and quickly poured onto M8 agar plates. Plates were swirled to ensure even spreading of top agar. Once cooled, 2 μL of phage DSM3 vir strain was spotted onto the center of the plate and allowed to dry before incubating plates at 37°C for 16 h.

**Congo red-binding assay.** Cultures were grown in 5 mL LB for 16 h at 37°C with rolling. Culture aliquots were washed once and resuspended in sterile deionized water. Washed cells were spotted (3 μL) onto Congo red plates (1% tryptone [wt/vol], 1.5% agar, 40 μg/mL Congo red, 20 μg/mL Coomassie blue). Plates were grown for 16 h at 37°C and then moved to room temperature for 3 days to allow for color development.

**Statistical analysis.** A one-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons was performed pairwise between all isolates and mixtures using GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA).

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available online only.

**SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1**, PDF file, 0.8 MB.
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